arXiv:1603.06572v1 [physics.ins-det] 21 Mar 2016

soLenoid and tIme projectioN chAmber for neutron lifetime measurement – LINA

H. Otono[a]

[a] *Research Centre for Advanced Particle Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan*

Abstract

Among measurements of the neutron lifetime, there is a 1% difference between proton-counting methods and neutron-counting methods. In this paper, a new electron-counting method with a magnetic field aiming for a 0.1% accuracy is proposed, which would have a possibility to probe the discrepancy.

Keywords: Neutron lifetime; Pulsed cold neutron; Electron-counting method

1. Introduction

According to the Particle Data Group, the neutron lifetime is reported as 880.3 ± 1.1 s in 2015 [1]. However, there is a 1% discrepancy, i.e., 8.4 ± 2.2 s, between two methods: counting surviving ultra-cold neutrons after storing (879.6 ± 0.8 s [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]) and counting trapped protons from the neutron decay (888.0 ± 2.1 s [7, 8]). A proposed experiment in this paper employs an electron-counting method, based on experiments at ILL [9, 10, 11] and J-PARC [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. Pulsed neutron beams pass through a time projection chamber (TPC) with a gas mixture of ^{4}He : CO_2 : ^{3}He = 85 kPa : 15 kPa : 100 mPa, which detects electrons from the neutron decay, and also measures the neutron flux with mixed ^{3}He; A neutron captured in ^{3}He emits a 573 keV proton and a 191 keV triton, namely the $^3\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{n},\mathrm{p})^3\mathrm{H}$ reaction. Analyzing events in the period when the neutron pulse is completely inside the TPC, the same fiducial volume can be used for both reactions. The cold neutron pulses with a velocity of about 1000 m/s have a length of approximately half the TPC.

The neutron lifetime, τ, can be described with the number of the neutron decay, N_β, and the $^3\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{n},\mathrm{p})^3\mathrm{H}$ reaction, $N_{^3\mathrm{He}}$, as follows:

$$N_\beta \propto \frac{1}{\tau v}, \tag{1}$$

$$N_{^3\mathrm{He}} \propto \sigma\rho = \sigma \times \frac{P}{T}, \tag{2}$$

$$\tau \propto \frac{N_{^3\mathrm{He}}}{N_\beta}\frac{T}{P}\frac{1}{\sigma v}, \tag{3}$$

where v is the neutron velocity, and σ is the cross section of the $^3\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{n},\mathrm{p})^3\mathrm{H}$ reaction. The number density of ^{3}He is represented as ρ, which depends on the pressure of ^{3}He, P, and the temperature along with the neutron beam inside the fiducial volume, T. Since σ for cold neutrons is inversely proportional to the neutron velocity, σv can be treated as a constant.

2. A proposed method for the neutron lifetime experiment

Figure 1 shows a schematic view of the proposed experiment, where a TPC is housed in a solenoid coil. To consider the setup, I refered to the solenoid magnet for the PERKEO III experiment [17]; The operation field is 1.5 kG; The dimension for the inner surface of the magnet is 50 cm (ϕ) $\times$ 200 cm (L).



Figure 1: Side view (left) and front view (right) of the proposed experiment. Not to scale. Anode (cathode) wires are assumed to be perpendicular (parallel) to the beam axis. Arrows shows drift directions.

In a magnetic field of B [kG], the radius of curvature of R [cm] for a charged

particle with a mass of m [MeV/c^2] can be denoted as follows:

$$R = \frac{p}{0.3B} = \frac{\sqrt{T^2 + 2mT}}{0.3B}, \tag{4}$$

where p [MeV/c] and T [MeV] are momentum and kinetic energy of the charged particle. For a 782 keV electron, corresponding to the maximum kinetic energy of electrons from the neutron decay, the radius of curvature is calculated to be 2.6 cm. The TPC is divided into three regions by anode and cathode wires, and the drift direction in each region is indicated by arrows. A $B \times E$ effect on the drift direction is about 3°, assuming an electric field of 300 V/cm. Thus, electrons from the neutron decay and their ionised electrons are confined in the signal region shown as the shadow area.

Neutrons passing through the TPC are sometimes scattered by gases and captured in the structure of the detector system. Then, prompt γ-rays are produced via the (n,γ) reaction and induce Compton electrons inside the TPC, which are irreducible background events for the neutron decay. The surface of the TPCs for the experiments at ILL and J-PARC were covered by ^{6}Li tiles, since ^{6}Li has a large neutron absorption cross section without emitting the prompt γ-rays. However, a 0.9% uncertainty on the subtraction of the Compton electrons was still remained, according to the result at ILL *et al.* [10]. For the experiment in J-PARC, this uncertainty would be dominant, since the other systematic uncertainties would be suppressed [16]. Note that these two TPCs had a single drift direction without a magnetic field.

The proposed TPC having the three regions with the solenoid coil would eliminate the Compton electrons by requiring no hits outside the signal region, since the Compton electrons are mainly originated from the structure of the TPC, e.g., the ^{6}Li tiles. As a result, the uncertainty on the subtraction of the Compton electrons would be negligible.

3. Conclusion

A new electron-counting method for the neutron lifetime is proposed. The TPC counts electrons from neutron decay and the $^3\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{n},\mathrm{p})^3\mathrm{H}$ reaction for the

neutron flux in the same fiducial volume. The current main systematic uncertainties are related to the subtraction of background events against electrons from the neutron decay. The newly introduced magnetic and electric fields for the TPC significantly reduce the uncertainty, which would reach a 0.1% accuracy and offer a clue to help resolve the 1% discrepancy among the current neutron lifetime measurements.

References

[1] K. A. Olive *et al.*, Chin. Phys. C, **38**, 090001 (2014) and 2015 update.

[2] W. Mampe *et al.*, JETP Lett. **57**, 82 (1993).

[3] A. P. Serebrov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **605**, 72 (2005).

[4] A. Pichlmaier *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **693**, 221 (2010).

[5] S. S. Arzumanov *et al.*, JETP Lett. **95**, 224 (2012).

[6] A. Steyerl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **85**, 065503 (2012).

[7] J. Byrne *et al.*, Europhys. Lett. **33**, 187 (1996).

[8] A. T. Yue *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 222501 (2013).

[9] P. Grivot *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **34**, 127-134 (1988).

[10] R. Kossakowski *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A, **503**, 473-500 (1989).

[11] A. Bussiére *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **332**, 220-223 (1993).

[12] K. Mishima *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **600**, 342-345 (2009).

[13] K. Taketani *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **634**, S134-S137 (2011).

[14] Y. Arimoto *et al.*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 02B007 (2012).

[15] T. Ino *et al.*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **528**, 012039 (2014).

[16] Y. Arimoto *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **799**, 187-196 (2015).

[17] B. Märkisch *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A **611**, 216-218 (2009).